

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,860	06/24/2003	Suk-Gyun Han	1349.1207	7183
21171	7590 07/18/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & I SUITE 700	HALSEY LLP		SAN MARTIN, EDGARDO	
	1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2837	
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H'A			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summany	10/601,860	HAN, SUK-GYUN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Edgardo San Martin	2837	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply: - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Jul</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This a Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Experience.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims		`	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner, 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the di Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner,	pted or b) objected to by the E rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application y documents have been receiven (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 5, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 6 8, 11, 12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tanaka (US 5,838,132).

With respect to claims 1, 12 and 15, Tanaka teaches an apparatus and method of controlling a stepper motor to which a driving current is applied, the apparatus comprising a torque calculator to calculate torque applied to the stepper motor from current flowing in each excited phase of the stepper motor and from stored driving current settings and to output a driving current setting signal corresponding to the calculated torque; a controller to output a control signal to apply variable driving current

Art Unit: 2837

which is based on the driving current setting signal, to the stepper motor; and a driver to drive the stepper motor based on the control signal inputted from the controller (Fig.1; Col.1, Line 48 – Col.2, Line 38, Col.3, Lines 18 – 45 and Col.4, Line 8 – Col.5, Line 37).

With respect to claims 2, 6-8, 11 and 14, the Examiner considers that Tanaka teaches the limitations described in the claims (Fig.1; Col.3, Lines 18-45 and Col.4, Line 8-Col.5, Line 37).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3 5, 9, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka (US 5,838,132).

With respect to claims 3 and 13, Tanaka teaches the limitations discussed in a previous rejection, but fail to disclose the torque calculator comprising a D/A converter to convert the read driving current setting information into analog signals.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that it is well known in the art of motor control to employ a digital to analog converter to convert a digital signal into an analog signal.

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ a D/A converter in the Tanaka design because it Art Unit: 2837

would provide with an element that would convert the digital signal that the torque calculator produce to an analog signal that would be provided to the motor.

With respect to claims 4, 5, 9 and 10, the Examiner considers that Tanaka teaches the limitations described in the claims (Fig.1; Col.3, Lines 18 – 45 and Col.4, Line 8 –Col.5, Line 37).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The Examiner considers that the patent to Tanaka teaches the limitations described in the claims as discussed above.

The examiner notes that the statements presented in the previous Office Action regarding the well known status of several elements were not challenged or traversed. This is taken as an admission of the Applicant that the elements are well known. *In re Chevenard*, 139 F.2d 71, 60 USPQ 239 (CCPA 1943).

Conclusion

5. The attached hereto PTO Form 892 lists prior art made of record that the Examiner considered it pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,860

Art Unit: 2837

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edgardo San Martin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2074. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on (571) 272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edgardo San Martín Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 2837 Class 318

July 14, 2005